Structural Changes in a Cu/Al₂O₃ Catalyst when Used for Oxidation of Carbon Monoxide

It has been found when copper is deposited on high surface area alumina using loadings below about 4 wt% Cu and calcined 773 K that a "surface below spinel $CuAl_2O_4$ " forms, in which most of the Cu^{2+} is located in a distorted octahedral geometry (1, 2). Previous results in our laboratories (3) have indicated that, for such loadings, the most active catalysts for CO oxidation at 473 K are obtained by prereduction with pure CO: however, the initial active state was found to be affected by a significant deactivation. The present study was undertaken to elucidate the structural changes accompanying deactivation during CO oxidation.

A 2 wt% Cu/Al₂O₃ catalyst was prepared by wet impregnation of γ -alumina of high purity (BDH, 95 m²/g) with copper nitrate solution followed by evaporation of excess water, drying at 383 K and calcining at 773 K. Activity, defined as CO conversion by oxidation with air at 473 K, was measured in a flow reaction system as described elsewhere (3). Catalyst prereduction was carried out by flowing CO (or H₂) at 573 K for 3 h.

As has been found previously (3), activity was higher for the catalyst prereduced with CO than for the nonprereduced sample (Fig. 1). The most active catalyst also displayed a significant deactivation for the first hours on-stream, and a less marked decay for longer periods. The activity level was also affected by the type of reducing agent: reduction with CO produced a more active catalyst than did reduction with H_2 . It is also seen in Fig. 1 that partial reoxidation with air after prereduction with CO produced a less pronounced decay in initial activity.

The presence of red particles, most probably metallic copper, was detected by optical microscopy in samples used for different periods of time (5, 24, and 48 h). Red aggregates up to about 50 μ m were observed at $160 \times$ magnification.

Samples (fresh, prereduced and used) handled to avoid contact with air, were prepared for characterization by XPS and FTIR of adsorbed CO. The fresh and used samples presented the Cu_{2p} doublet with binding energies of 934.4 and 954.4 eV, together with elevated satellite signals, which is typical of Cu^{2+} (4, 5). Reduction with CO caused the disappearance of satellite peaks and a displacement (ca. 0.6 eV) of Cu_{2p} doublet to a lower value. While a 1.2-eV decrease would be expected if all CuO were reduced to metal (6, 7), other authors (8, 9) have pointed out that small metallic clusters may also exhibit a peak at higher binding energy than does bulk metal. Therefore, it can be assumed that the catalyst reduced with CO most likely contained copper species on the surface with oxidation states lower than +2, either a mixture of Cu⁰ and Cu⁺¹ or only Cu⁰.

The values obtained from XPS and IR (Table 1) suggest that the fraction of exposed copper is maximal in the fresh sample, decreases after prereduction with CO, and further decreases after use for 5 h. However, longer operation (24 h) produces a significant increase in exposed copper, even though the activity decreased (Fig. 1).

Such deactivation may be caused in part



FIG. 1. Catalytic activity for CO oxidation on 2 wt% Cu/Al_2O_3 catalyst after different pretreatments: (a) prereduced with CO at 573 K for 3 h; (b) a + reoxidized with air at 573 K for 3 min; (c) prereduced with H₂ at 573 K for 3 h; (d) fresh oxidized. Reaction conditions: 473 K, 150 cc/min air + 30 cc/min CO, 0.5 g sample diluted with 1.5 g alumina.

by formation during reaction of aggregates of the metallic Cu^0 seen under microscopy. Sintering of Cu^0 during reaction may account for a decrease in surface concentration of copper seen in both the XPS and IR measurements for the prereduced sample and that used 5 h. However, the increase in exposed copper found for the sample used for 24 h was unexpected and contrary to the trend followed by the catalyst in previous states. In this case it seems unlikely that redispersion of the Cu^0 occurred because

TABLE 1

Sample treatment	ICu2p/IAl2p ^a	Absorbance ^b at at ca. 2120 cm ^{-1}
Fresh: a	1.17	0.346
a + CO-reduced: b	0.71	0.177
b + Used 5 h	0.51	0.143
b + Used 24 h	0.98	0.347

^{*a*} In this calculation both $Cu_{2p3/2}$ signal and its satellite were considered. X-ray source: MgK α . Al_{2p} signal at 74.7 eV was employed as reference.

^b Using self-supported wafer with $10.2-11.9 \text{ mg cm}^{-2}$ pressed at 10^3 kg cm^{-2} . Adsorption pressure: 30 Torr CO.

optical microscopy showed the presence of large Cu^0 aggregates at longer operation (24 and 48 h).

Accordingly, an increase in dispersion accompanied by a decrease in activity (Table 1, Fig. 1) most likely arises from the slow formation during reaction of a highly dispersed but less active phase, possible a $CuAl_2O_4$ "surface spinel," by means of migration of copper from bulk spinel (where copper is inaccessible to XPS or IR of adsorbed CO) and/or migration of copper from the active centers. If the latter is considered as the most predominant source of copper for the surface spinel formation, then the active copper should be present as small clusters, less dispersed than the surface spinel. Consequently, the slow formation of the less active CuAl₂O₄ was probably accelerated by the initial reoxidation carried out after prereduction (full circles in Fig. 1).

Two interesting phenomena could be inferred after reduction in a H_2 atmosphere (Table 2), namely the formation of metallic centers Cu⁰, and the crystallization of the reduced phase Cu⁰. It is probable that as a result of the exothermic character of the reduction, and the refractory properties of the solid, local temperatures increased considerably, so producing crystallization. As observed in Table 2, the spectrum of adsorbed CO following H₂ reduction showed a major absorption band at 2114 cm⁻¹, which is typical for CO adsorption on metallic copper (10). Further oxidation of the

TABLE 2

Sample ^a treatment	Wavenumber cm ⁻¹	Absorbance
H ₂ -Reduced: c	2114	0.049
c + reoxidized with 0.01 Torr O ₂ : d	2122	0.035
d + reoxidized with 1.6 Torr O_2	2128	0.042

^a Temperature for reduction and reoxidation was 523 K. Other details are in Table 1.

H₂-reduced catalyst by small oxygen pulses shifted the CO stretching band toward higher wavenumbers up to 2128 cm⁻¹, which corresponds closely to CO adsorbed on CuO surfaces (6, 10).

Comparing absorbances after CO or H_2 reduction (Tables 1 and 2) led us to conclude that the appearance of Cu⁰ upon H₂ reduction is accompanied by a significant decrease in the copper dispersion. Therefore, we draw the conclusion that the higher activity achieved upon CO prereduction (Fig. 1) is mostly related to a lesser sintering of copper particles than that which occurs when H₂ is the reducing agent.

The exothermic heat for complete reduction when using CO (68 kcal/mol) is somewhat higher than when using H₂ (58 kcal/mol). This implies, contrary to our observations, that more Cu⁰ crystallization takes place in the former case. Therefore, the species formed after reduction with CO must be different in nature than just the bulk metallic Cu⁰ formed by H₂ reduction. A possible explanation of this phenomenon is the formation of certain Cu⁰-carbonyl species, perhaps as small clusters (11).

The induction period observed after prereducing with H₂ (Fig. 1) could be due to the formation of more active Cu⁰-carbonyl species as suggested above. Indeed, the formation of large aggregates of Cu⁰ seen under microscopy may reflect enhanced surface diffusion of Cu⁰ during reaction as a result of the volatile character of Cu⁰ carbonyls (12). This suggestion receives support from the appearance of a red-transparent film seen on the Pyrex reactor wall around the catalyst bed after the activity test.

In summary, the results discussed suggest that the active—CO prereduced—copper on alumina catalyst is deactivated during CO oxidation by the formation of two species: bulk Cu⁰ and CuAl₂O₄ surface spinel.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Support for this work from the Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Technológicas of Venezuela (Grant S1-2020) and from the Ministerio de Educatión y Ciencia of Spain is gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- Friedman, R. M., Freeman, J. J., and Lytle, F. W., J. Catal. 55, 10 (1978).
- 2. Wolberg, A., and Roth, J. F., J. Catal. 15, 250 (1969).
- Severino, F., Brito, J., Carías, O., and Laine, J., J. Catal. 102, 172 (1986).
- Fiermans, L., Hoogewiis, R., and Venik, J., Surf. Sci. 47, 1 (1975).
- Laine, J., Brito, J., Severino, F., Castro, G., Tacconi, P., Yunes, S., and Cruz, J., *Catal. Lett.* 5, 45 (1990).
- Hierl, R., Knozinger, H., and Urbach, H. P., J. Catal. 69, 475 (1981).
- 7. Strohmeier, B. R., Leyden, D. E., Field, R. S., and Hercules, D. M., J. Catal. 94, 514 (1985).
- Baetzold, L. E., and Hamilton, J. F., Prog. Solid State Chem. 15, 1 (1983).
- 9. Apai, G., and Frederick, B. G., Langmuir 3, 395 (1987).
- Kohler, M. A., Cant, N. W., Wainwright, M. S., and Trimm, D. L., J. Catal. 117, 188 (1989).
- Huber, H., Kundig, E. P., Moskovits, M., and Ozin, G. A., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 97, 2097 (1975).
- 12. Keller, A., Nature (London) 129, 438 (1932).

J. LAINE

F. Severino

Laboratorio de Físico-Química de Superficie Instituto Venezolano de Investigaciones Científicas Apartado 21827 Caracas 1020-A, Venezuela

A. López-Agudo J. L. G. Fierro

Instituto de Catálisis y Petroleoquímica, CSIC Serrano 119 28006 Madrid, Spain

Received October 11, 1989; revised October 23, 1990